IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v. JOHNNY HINES, JR.	<pre>\$ \$ \$ CASE NUMBER 6:13-CR-00061-RWS \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$</pre>
DETENTION ORDER	R PENDING REVOCATION
Upon the	
☐ Motion of the Government attorney pursu☐ Motion of the Government or Court's own	
the court held a detention hearing and found that detention conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in a	is warranted. This order sets forth the court's findings of fact and ddition to any other findings made at the hearing.
Part II - Findings of Fact and La	w as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)
☐ A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S. presumption that no condition or combination of con and the community because the following conditions	ditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ollowing crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
§ 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum	U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; or
☐ (b) an offense for which the maximum s	n of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the
Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §	§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); or
(a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two	onvicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal n of such offenses; or
\Box (e) any felony that is not otherwise a crim	
(iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv)	a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921); a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; and
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. t would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise
	ve for which the defendant has been convicted was e pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; <i>and</i>
\Box (4) a period of not more than five years has ele	apsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial
☐ B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (narcotics, firearm, other offenses): There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:
☐ (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
☐ (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
☐ (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
☐ (4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; or
☐ (5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.
☐ C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above
☐ The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. (Part III need not be completed.)
OR
☐ The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.
Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention
After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:
☐ By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
☐ By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.
In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:
 □ Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong □ Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted □ Prior criminal history □ Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
 ☐ History of violence or use of weapons ☐ History of alcohol or substance abuse
☐ Lack of stable employment
☐ Lack of stable residence
☐ Lack of financially responsible sureties

Case 6:13-cr-00061-RWS-JDL Document 17 Filed 01/28/20 Page 3 of 3 PageID #: 71

AO 472 (Rev. 11/16) Order of Detention Pending Trial
☐ Lack of legal status in the United States
☐ Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
☐ Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
☐ Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
☐ Use of alias(es) or false documents
☐ Background information unknown or unverified
☐ Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release
OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:
The defendant waived hearing on detention without prejudice.

Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date: January 38 2020
Honorable K. Nicole Mitchell

United States Magistrate Judge